

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT



INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

REC'D 12 NOV 2004

WIPO

PCT

Applicant's or agent's file reference 344918/20396		FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/PEA/416)	
International application No. PCT/IB 03/03213	International filing date (day/month/year) 11.06.2003	Priority date (day/month/year) 11.06.2002	
International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC A61K9/51			
Applicant ETHYPHARM et al.			
<p>1. This international preliminary examination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority and is transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.</p> <p>2. This REPORT consists of a total of 4 sheets, including this cover sheet.</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/> This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, i.e. sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions under the PCT).</p> <p>These annexes consist of a total of sheets.</p>			
<p>3. This report contains indications relating to the following items:</p> <p>I <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Basis of the opinion</p> <p>II <input type="checkbox"/> Priority</p> <p>III <input type="checkbox"/> Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability</p> <p>IV <input type="checkbox"/> Lack of unity of invention</p> <p>V <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement</p> <p>VI <input type="checkbox"/> Certain documents cited</p> <p>VII <input type="checkbox"/> Certain defects in the international application</p> <p>VIII <input type="checkbox"/> Certain observations on the international application</p>			
Date of submission of the demand 12.01.2004		Date of completion of this report 11.11.2004	
Name and mailing address of the international preliminary examining authority:  European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465		Authorized Officer Merkl, B Telephone No. +49 89 2399-2138 	

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. **PCT/IB 03/03213**

I. Basis of the report

1. With regard to the **elements** of the international application (*Replacement sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rules 70.16 and 70.17)*):

Description, Pages

1-51 as originally filed

Claims, Numbers

1-51 as originally filed

Drawings, Sheets

1/5-5/5 as originally filed

2. With regard to the **language**, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which the international application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.

These elements were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language: , which is:

- ☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of the international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
- ☐ the language of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
- ☐ the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3).

3. With regard to any **nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence** disclosed in the international application, the international preliminary examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:

- ☐ contained in the international application in written form.
- ☐ filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in written form.
- ☐ furnished subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
- ☐ The statement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the international application as filed has been furnished.
- ☐ The statement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has been furnished.

4. The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:

- ☐ the description, pages:
- ☐ the claims, Nos.:
- ☐ the drawings, sheets:

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT**

International application No. **PCT/B 03/03213**

5. ☐ This report has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as filed (Rule 70.2(c)).

(Any replacement sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.)

6. Additional observations, if necessary:

V. Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)	Yes: Claims	1-51
	No: Claims	
Inventive step (IS)	Yes: Claims	1-51
	No: Claims	
Industrial applicability (IA)	Yes: Claims	1-51
	No: Claims	

2. Citations and explanations
see separate sheet

**INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY
EXAMINATION REPORT - SEPARATE SHEET**

International application No. PCT/IB 03/03213

Item V:

1. D1: WO 98/51284 A (IMARX PHARMACEUTICAL) 19 November 1998 (1998-11-19)
D2: WO 99/30620 A (IMARX PHARMACEUTICALS) 24 June 1999 (1999-06-24)
D3: WO 01/64328 A (MAINELAB) 7 September 2001 (2001-09-07)
2. D1 and D2 do not disclose nanocapsules. D3 differs in that the molar mass of the poly(ethyleneglycol) component used in the nanocapsules is smaller than 1000g/mol. Therefore the requirements of Art. 33(2) PCT (novelty) are regarded to be met.
3. The problem of the pending application was to provide a carrier for active principles which exhibits reduced toxicity compared to the free drug in solution, which exhibits stealth properties with respect to the immune system of the host and which is capable not only of undergoing extravasation into the tumor but also of releasing its content therein. D3 is regarded to represent the closest prior art as it also refers to nanocapsules for the treatment of cancer. The only difference is that in the pending application the amphiphilic derivative of polyethyleneglycol has a molar mass which is greater than or equal to 1000g/mol instead of 660g/mol. There was no hint in the prior art that the use of the polyethyleneglycol derivative having the higher molar mass would lead to a protection against opsonization and therefore improve stealth properties. Therefore the requirements of Art. 33(3) PCT (inventive step) are regarded to be met.
4. As the term nanocapsules has no well-defined meaning in the art concerning the size of the capsule at the upper limit it is necessary to insert the definition of page 2, paragraph 2 of the size into claim 1 during the further prosecution of the application in the national/regional phases.